
[fg] Manuscript ID: 13507 - Revision Required

2 pesan

Dr. J Jumadi <journals-noreply@ums.ac.id>

9 Juni 2021 pukul 11.26

Kepada: Rizqi Prastowo <rizqi@itny.ac.id>

Cc: Hurien Helmi <Hurien.Helmi@itny.ac.id>, Obrin Trianda <obrin@itny.ac.id>

Dear Mr. Rizqi Prastowo,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Forum Geografi, "Identification of Andesite Resource Potential In Kalirejo Area, Kokap Sub-District, Kulon Progo Using Resistivity Method".

Our decision is to revise the paper in accordance with the following reviewers' comments.

Dr. J Jumadi
Faculty of Geography, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta
jumadi_geo@ums.ac.id

Forum Geografi (For. Geo.) is published under collaboration between Fakultas Geografi UMS with Indonesian Geographical Society (IGI), MoU No. 378/C.6-II/F.Geo/XI/2013 - 043/P/IGI/XI/2013.
ISSN: 0852-0682, Accreditation No: 12/M/Kp/II/2015
<http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/fg>

2 lampiran



13507-1-Review Form Response.pdf

122K



13507-2-Review Form Response.pdf

123K

RIZQI PRASTOWO <rizqi@itny.ac.id>

22 Juni 2021 pukul 05.44

Kepada: "Dr. J Jumadi" <journals-noreply@ums.ac.id>

Terimakasih atas pemberitahuannya.
mohon ijin bertanya, apakah saya dapat menambahkan author? jika diijinkan akan saya tambahkan di metadata, terimakasih

salam

rizqi

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

--

Program Studi Teknik Pertambangan
Fakultas Teknologi Mineral
Institut Teknologi Nasional Yogyakarta.
Jl. Babarsari, Caturtunggal, Depok, Sleman, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Review Form Response

Review Report Form V2

Is the article written in sufficient quality of English? *

- Extensive editing of English language and style required
- Moderate English changes required
- English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the contributions of the research clearly presented? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Does this article contain novelty of finding or method? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the research design and method appropriate and adequately explained? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the results and discussion with relevant literature clearly presented? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the conclusions supported by the results? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the figures/map adequately presented? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

What is your overall assessment of the article? *

Must be improve

Please provide some constructively expressed feedback for the author, designed to help them revise or rewrite the article for this journal or another. *

Some of your sentences are confusing. Please write your sentences concisely.

- Is there any other methods to identify the andesite potency ?
 - What is the advantage of applying resistivity method in term of andesite identification comparing to other method.
 - There is no clear statement in introduction about research objective.. You need to elaborate more about your method.
- For instance : Why you choose the geoelectric stretches line in those location. Is there any specific reason ?

Close

* Denotes required field

Review Form Response

Review Report Form V2

Is the article written in sufficient quality of English? *

- Extensive editing of English language and style required
- Moderate English changes required
- English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the contributions of the research clearly presented? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Does this article contain novelty of finding or method? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the research design and method appropriate and adequately explained? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the results and discussion with relevant literature clearly presented? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the conclusions supported by the results? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

Are the figures/map adequately presented? *

- Yes
- Can be improved
- Must be improved
- Not applicable

What is your overall assessment of the article? *

The article should be corrected intensively, especially the grammar. Figure 2. survey location has very low resolution, I suggest to enhance the figure 2.

Please provide some constructively expressed feedback for the author, designed to help them revise or rewrite the article for this journal or another. *

1. Please correct the grammar as it is poorly understood.
2. Please change the figure 2 to highest resolution.

Close

* Denotes required field